1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	TRACY L. WILKISON Acting United States Attorney BRANDON D. FOX/CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG Assistant United States Attorneys Chiefs, Criminal and National Security Divisions KAREN I. MEYER (Cal. Bar No. 220554) DEVON MYERS (Cal. Bar No. 240031) Assistant United States Attorneys Violent & Organized Crime/Cyber & IP Crimes Sections 1300/1500 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-8559/0649 Facsimile: (213) 894-2927 E-mail: kim.meyer@usdoj.gov devon.myers@usdoj.gov		
9	_	•90 •	
10	LAUREN S. KUPERSMITH KYLE P. REYNOLDS Trial Attorneys		
11	U.S. Dept. of Justice, Criminal Division Child Exploitation and Obscenity Section		
12	1301 New York Avenue, NW Washington DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 514-1564 Facsimile: (202) 514-1793 E-mail: lauren.kupersmith@usdoj.gov Kyle.reynolds@usdoj.gov		
13			
14			
15			
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 17-404(B)-AB-1	
20	Plaintiff,	GOVERNMENT'S MEMORANDUM IN AID OF	
21	V.	DEFENDANT ARLAN WESLEY HARRELL'S CHANGE OF PLEA	
22	ARLAN WESLEY HARRELL, et al.,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorneys		
26	of record, the United States Attorney's Office for the Central		
27	District of California and Assistant United States Attorneys Devon		

Myers and Karen I. Meyer and Dep	partment of Justice Trial Attorneys	
Lauren Kupersmith and Kyle P. Re	eynolds, hereby submits this	
memorandum in aid of defendant A	ARLAN WESLEY HARRELL's ("defendant")	
Rule 11 plea. At the change of	plea hearing set for May 14, 2021, it	
is the government's understanding that defendant intends to plead		
guilty to all of the charges in which he is named in the Second		
Superseding Indictment, without a plea agreement. The government		
hereby files a brief statement regarding the elements, penalties, and		
facts supporting those counts in which defendant is named: Counts One		
through Five and Seven through Twenty-Four.		
Dated: May 11, 2021	Respectfully submitted,	
	TRACY L. WILKISON Acting United States Attorney	
	BRANDON D. FOX/CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG Assistant United States Attorneys Chiefs, Criminal/National Security Divisions	
	/S/	
	DEVON MYERS KAREN I. MEYER	
	Assistant United States Attorneys	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	

MEMORANDUM

I. INTRODUCTION

Defendant Arlan Wesley Harrell ("defendant") has indicated that he intends to plead open to all counts of the Second Superseding Indictment ("SSI") in which he is named. The parties attempted to negotiate a factual basis on which they agreed. Defense counsel's preference is to only use those facts alleged in the SSI. The government is concerned, however, that a factual basis of only those facts in the SSI may be insufficient for it to be clear to the Court that defendant understands the nature of the charges.

For example, in <u>United States v. Rivillas</u>, the Court held that reading the indictment in a conspiracy case was not sufficient to indicate that the defendant understood the charges against him. 243 F.3d 551, *1-2 (9th Cir. 2000) (unpub.) ("Reading the indictment aloud was also inadequate under Rule 11. Although simply reading the indictment may suffice in some cases to inform a defendant of the nature of the charges against him, Rule 11 is not satisfied unless there is evidence on the record that the defendant understands the nature of the charges. . . . Conspiracy is a complex charge, esoteric to an accused, and we have consistently reversed guilty pleas when, as here, the district court failed to explain the nature of a conspiracy charge to the defendant." (internal citations and quotations omitted)); see also <u>United States v. Kramer</u>, 781 F.2d 1380, 1384 (9th Cir. 1986) ("in non-complex cases, a reading of the indictment may suffice").

Given that this case involves a significant sentencing exposure for defendant, that some of the charges are complex or involve

Case 2:17-cr-00404-AB Document 276 Filed 05/11/21 Page 4 of 16 Page ID #:2452

statutory language whose meaning is not always clear on its face, the government proposes the factual basis below. The government's proposed factual basis hews closely to the language of the SSI but also uses more plain language in place of legal terms of art and includes some additional details that the government believes will help the Court be assured that defendant understands the nature of the charges against him.

II. GUILTY PLEA

Defendant is pleading guilty to all counts in the twenty-four count SSI in which he is charged in <u>United States v. Arlan Wesley Harrell, et al.</u>, 17-cr-404(B)-AB, which are counts One through Five and Seven through Twenty-Four.

Count One charges defendant with Child Exploitation Enterprise, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(g). Count Two charges defendant with Obtaining Custody of A Child To Produce Child Pornography, in violation of Title 18, United States Code Section 2251A(b). Counts Three through Five charge defendant with Production of Child Pornography, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), (e), as well as the alternate theory of aiding and abetting the Production of Child Pornography in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2(a). Counts Seven through Twenty-Three charge defendant with Production of Child Pornography, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), (e). Count Twenty-Four charges defendant with Possession of Child Pornography in violation of title 18, United States Code, Section 2 (252(a) (4) (B), (b) (2).

III. NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1. For defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count
 One, that is, Child Exploitation Enterprise, in violation of Title
 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(g), the following must be true:
 (1) defendant knowingly produced, advertised, transported,
 distributed, or received child pornography in violation of Chapter
 110 of Title 18, United States Code, as part of a series of felony
 violations constituting three or more separate incidents; (2) the
 incidents involved more than one victim; and (3) the defendant
 committed those offenses in concert with three or more other persons.
- 2. For defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count Two, that is, Obtaining Custody of A Child To Produce Child Pornography, in violation of Title 18, United States Code Section 2251A(b), the following must be true: (1) defendant purchased or otherwise obtained custody or control of a minor, or otherwise offered to purchase or otherwise obtain custody or control of a minor; (2) defendant (a) knew that as a consequence of the purchase or obtaining of custody, the minor would be portrayed in a visual depiction engaging in, or assisting another person to engage in, sexually explicit conduct; or (b) defendant intended to promote either the engaging in of sexually explicit conduct by such minor for the purpose of producing any such visual depiction or the rendering of assistance by the minor to any other person to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing any visual depiction of such conduct; and (3) (a) the minor or defendant traveled or was transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce; (b) the offer was communicated or transported using any means or facility of

interstate or foreign commerce or in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means including by computer, or using the mail; or (c) the conduct took place in any territory or possession of the United States.

- For defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Counts Three, Four, Five, and Seven through Twenty-Three, that is, Production of Child Pornography, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), (e), the following must be true: (1) at the time of the offense, the victim was under the age of 18 years; (2) defendant employed, used, persuaded, induced, enticed, or coerced the victim to take part in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of such conduct; and (3) (a) the visual depiction was actually transported and transmitted using any means or facility of interstate and foreign commerce and in and affecting interstate commerce; or (b) the defendant knew or had reason to know that the visual depiction would be transported or transmitted using any means or facility of interstate or foreign commerce or in or affecting interstate commerce; or (c) the visual depiction was produced or transmitted using materials that have been mailed, shipped, or transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer.
- 4. For defendant to be guilty of Aiding and Abetting the Production of Child Pornography, as charged as an alternative theory in Counts Three, Four and Five, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2251(a), the following must be true: (1) someone else committed the production of child pornography; (2) defendant aided, counseled, commanded, induced or procured that person with

respect to at least one element of the production of child pornography; (3) defendant acted with the intent to facilitate the production of child pornography; and (4) defendant acted before the crime was completed.

5. For defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count Twenty-Four, that is, possession of child pornography, in violation of title 18, United States Code, Section 2252(a) (4) (B), (b) (2), the following must be true: (1) defendant knowingly possessed one or more matter that defendant knew contained visual depictions of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct; (2) defendant knew that the visual depictions showed minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct; (3) defendant knew that the production of such visual depictions involved use of a minor in sexually explicit conduct; and (4) the visual depiction had been either: (a) transported using any means or facility of interstate commerce or in or affecting interstate commerce or (b) produced using materials that had been transported using any means or facility of interstate commerce or in or affecting in interstate or foreign commerce by computer or other means.

IV. PENALTIES

6. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(g), is: life imprisonment; a lifetime period of supervised release; full restitution to the victims of the offense; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100 and a \$5,000 special assessment pursuant to title 18, United States Code, Section 3014 if the Court determines defendant is non-indigent.

- 7. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2251A(b), is: life imprisonment, a lifetime period of supervised release; full restitution to the victims of the offense; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100 and a \$5,000 special assessment pursuant to title 18, United States Code, Section 3014 if the Court determines defendant is non-indigent.
- 8. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for each violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), (e), is: 30 years' imprisonment; a lifetime period of supervised release; full restitution to the victims of the offense; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100 and a \$5,000 special assessment pursuant to title 18, United States Code, Section 3014 if the Court determines defendant is non-indigent.
- 9. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(4)(B), (b)(2), is: twenty years' imprisonment; a lifetime period of supervised release; full restitution to the victims of the offense; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100 and a \$5,000 special assessment pursuant to title 18, United States Code, Section 3014 if the Court determines defendant is non-indigent.

- 11. The statutory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(g), is: 20 years' imprisonment; five years' supervised release; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 12. The statutory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2251A(b), is: 30 years' imprisonment; five years' supervised release; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 13. The statutory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2251(a), is: 15 years' imprisonment; five years' supervised release; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 14. The statutory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252(a)(4)(B), is: five years' supervised release; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 15. Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised

release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release.

- 16. By pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Once the Court accepts defendant's guilty pleas, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. The convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.
- 17. If defendant is not a United States citizen, the convictions in this case makes it practically inevitable and a virtual certainty that defendant will be removed or deported from the United States. Defendant may also be denied United States citizenship and admission to the United States in the future. Defendant understands that while there may be arguments that defendant can raise in immigration proceedings to avoid or delay removal, removal is presumptively mandatory and a virtual certainty in this case. Removal and immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding and that no one, including his attorney or the Court, can predict to an absolute certainty the effect of his convictions on his immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration

consequences that his pleas may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

18. As a condition of supervised release, under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3583(d), defendant will be required to register as a sex offender. Independent of supervised release, defendant will be subject to federal and state registration requirements, for a possible maximum term of registration up to and including life. Under Title 18, United States Code, Section 4042(c), notice will be provided to certain law enforcement agencies upon his release from confinement following conviction. The defendant must register and keep the registration current in each jurisdiction in which the defendant resides, is an employee, or is a student. Requirements for registration include providing, among other information, the defendant's true name, residence address, and names and addresses of any places where the defendant is or will be an employee or student. The requirement to keep the registration current includes informing at least one jurisdiction in which the defendant resides, is an employee, or is a student not later than three business days after any change of the defendant's name, residence, employment, or student status. Failure to comply with these obligations subjects the defendant to prosecution for failure to register under federal law, 18 U.S.C. § 2250, which is punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both.

V. FACTUAL BASIS

19. The United States submits that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this document, but it is not meant to be a complete recitation of all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case 2:17-cr-00404-AB Document 276 Filed 05/11/21 Page 12 of 16 Page ID #:2460

facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

As to Count 1, HARRELL (a/k/a "soole," "fritters," "kronos," and "the dread king") was a member of "Website A," which was an Internet-based, members-only bulletin board dedicated to the production, advertisement, transportation, receipt, distribution, and possession of child pornography depicting children five years old or younger. HARRELL was a member of Website A and that he made posts under the username "Soole." When HARRELL was a member, Website A had over one thousand members. Its members used screen names to mask their identities, followed security rules regarding remaining anonymous, and needed to use a network that masked each member's Internet Protocol address.

Members could make "posts" to Website A that often included child pornography images and videos and links to child pornography.

Members could make these posts in different sections of Website A that were dedicated to child pornography depicting children of certain ages and genders. Website A also allowed members to ascend to certain "ranks" based on the extent of that member's participation in Website A, including the amount of child pornography the member shared over the website.

HARRELL joined Website A on approximately February 12, 2016 and made approximately 155 posts to other Website A users. For example, acting in concert with co-defendant, John Richard Brinson, and other members of Website A, HARRELL made the following posts on Website A:

• On November 3, 2016, HARRELL made a post that contained a preview image portraying smaller images, including images

that depicted a closeup of Minor Victim 14's unclothed legs spread to show her genitals in the middle of the image.

The post also included a link for the preview image and a link to download full-size versions of the smaller images, along with text about the images. Minor Victim 14 was approximately five years old at the time of the post.

- On January 12, 2017, HARRELL made a post that contained a preview image portraying smaller images, including images that depicted a closeup of Minor Victim 5's unclothed genitals being spread by HARRELL's fingers. The post also included a link for the preview image and a link to download full-size versions of the smaller images, along with text about the images and a statement that there would be more. Minor Victim 5 was less than two years old at the time of the post.
- On April 15, 2017, HARRELL made a post that included links to two image previews of video files and links to the two video files, as well as text describing the videos. One of the videos depicted Minor Victim 6 being anally penetrated by HARRELL's penis. Minor Victim 6 was less than three years old at the time of the post.

As to Count 2, on or about September 24, 2016, HARRELL took custody and control of Minor Victim 11, who was then approximately three years old, and transported him to Brinson's residence for the purpose of producing child pornography. While in HARRELL's custody, HARRELL and Brinson created images of Minor Victim 11, which depicted the lascivious exhibition of Minor Victim 11's genitals and images

Case 2:17-cr-00404-AB Document 276 Filed 05/11/21 Page 14 of 16 Page ID #:2462

that depicted adult fingers touching Minor Victim 11's penis and anus. HARRELL's offer to obtain custody of Minor Victim 11 was communicated using a cellular telephone network, i.e., a means or facility of interstate commerce.

As to Count 3 through 5, between approximately September 24, 2016 and May 28, 2017, HARRELL and Brinson, each assisting the other, created images and/or videos of Minor Victims 3, 11, and 12, which depicted the lascivious display of the genitals of Minor Victims 3, 11, and 12, as well as HARRELL and/or Brinson orally copulating, or masturbating or anally penetrating Minor Victims 3, 11, and 12. Each image or video was produced or transmitted using materials that were manufactured outside of California. At all relevant times, Minor Victim 3 was less than 8 years old and Minor Victim 12 was less than 4 years old.

As to Counts 7 through 20, between approximately 2013 and May 28, 2017, HARRELL created images and/or videos of Minor Victims 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20, each of which depicted the lascivious display of the genitals of those minors or depicted HARRELL orally copulating or masturbating the minors or penetrating them with his penis. Each image or video was produced or transmitted using materials that were manufactured outside of California. At all relevant times, Minor Victims 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 were less than 10 years old.

As to Counts 21 through 23, on approximately May 19, 2017, HARRELL created images and/or videos of Minor Victims 21, 22, and 23, which depicted the lascivious display of the genitals of each of those minors. Each image or video was produced or transmitted using

materials that were manufactured outside of California. At all relevant times, Minor Victims 21, 22, and 23 were less than 7 years old.

As to Count 24, on approximately May 28, 2017, HARRELL possessed at least one electronic device that contained images and videos of minors, including minors who were under 12 years old who are not Minor Victims 3 or 5-23, that depict among other things, the lascivious display of the minors' genitals. These images were produced using materials that had been transported across a state line into California and/or had been transmitted via the internet, i.e., a means or facility of interstate commerce.

All of HARRELL's offenses described above took place in the state of California and in whole or in part within the Central District of California. He took these actions knowingly and deliberately and not by mistake or accident. All of the individuals designated as "Minor Victims" were under the age of 18 at the time of his conduct. This Factual Basis does not recite every fact known to the United States about HARRELL's conduct, and it does not prevent either party from introducing additional facts or evidence at sentencing.

VI. WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 20. By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not quilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel at trial. Defendant

Case 2:17-cr-00404-AB Document 276 Filed 05/11/21 Page 16 of 16 Page ID #:2464

understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel - and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel - at every other stage of the proceeding.

- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.